| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|-----------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/787,061 | MICULKA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | L. E. Crane | 1623 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>FAOM mailed</u> | |
| (1) <u>L. E. Crane</u> . | (3) | · |
| (2) <u>Diane Wong</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 3 June 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: None | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | DISCUSSED: |
| Applicant was queried on 06/02/2006 concerning whether a response would be forthcoming. Applicant responded that no response would be forthcoming because a continuation application had been filed. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate red | examiner will provide a writte | en summary of the substance |
| did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| JE Com | | |
| (Exampler/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Sig | gnature – if appropriate) |